





Area¹

Industry²

Feb. '19

Feb. '20

12-month %
change

12-month
gain/loss

Rank³



"#\$%&'()*+,-./

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Feb. '19</u>	<u>Feb. '20</u>	<u>12-month %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Ann Arbor, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,300	4,500	5%	200	97
Battle Creek, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,400	1,400	0%	0	218
Bay City, MI	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,100	0%	0	218
Detroit-Dearborn-Livonia, MI Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction					



"#\$%&'()*+,-./

Area¹

Industry²

Feb. '19

Feb. '20

12-month %
change

12-month
gain/loss

Rank³



"#\$\$%' () '\$#)'+&), -. '%(, /

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Feb. '19</u>	<u>Feb. '20</u>	<u>12-month %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Akron, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,000	13,800	6%	800	63
Canton-Massillon, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,000	7,700	-4%	-300	314
Cincinnati, OH-KY-IN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	44,500	42,900	-4%	-1,600	314
Cleveland-Elyria, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	34,800	37,600	8%	2,800	34
Columbus, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	39,800	44,100	11%	4,300	12
Dayton, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	12,500	12,700	2%	200	173
Lima, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,900	1,900	0%	0	218
Mansfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,000	1,800	-10%	-200	346
Springfield, OH	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,100	1,000	-9%	-100	343



"#\$\$%' () '\$#')**+&), -. '%(, /

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Feb. '19</u>	<u>Feb. '20</u>	<u>12-month %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	10,700	11,000	3%	300	143
Spartanburg, SC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,500	7,800	4%	300	115
South Dakota						
Statewide	Construction	20,100	21,900	9%	1,800	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	20,900	22,900	10%	2,000	
Rapid City, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,500	4,900	9%	400	24
Sioux Falls, SD	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,000	8,300	4%	300	115
Tennessee						
Statewide	Construction	124,800	125,900	1%	1,100	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	129,200	130,200	1%	1,000	
Chattanooga, TN-GA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	11,000	11,200	2%	200	173
Clarksville, TN-KY	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,100	3,200	3%	100	143
Cleveland, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,100	1,900	-10%	-200	346
Jackson, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,900	2,900	0%	0	218
Johnson City, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	2,400	2,400	0%	0	218
Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol, TN-VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,900	6,000	2%	100	173
Knoxville, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	18,200	19,000	4%	800	115
Memphis, TN-MS-AR	Mining, Logging, and Construction	23,200	23,600	2%	400	173
Morristown, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,800	2,000	11%	200	12
Nashville-Davidson--Murfreesboro--Franklin, TN	Mining, Logging, and Construction	47,000	48,700	4%	1,700	115
Texas						
Statewide	Construction	759,500	793,100	4%	33,600	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	1,015,300	1,030,900	2%	15,600	
Abilene, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,000	5,400	8%	400	34
Amarillo, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,100	7,300	3%	200	143
Austin-Round Rock, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	66,300	71,600	8%	5,300	34
Beaumont-Port Arthur, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	21,700	20,800	-4%	-900	314
Brownsville-Harlingen, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,000	3,100	3%	100	143
College Station-Bryan, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,000	7,700	-4%	-300	314
Corpus Christi, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	24,300	23,400	-4%	-900	314
Dallas-Plano-Irving, TX Div.	Mining, Logging, and Construction	145,600	157,600	8%	12,000	34
El Paso, TX	Mining, Logging, and Construction	16,600	17,600	6%		



"#\$%&'()*'(\$#)**+&), -. '%(, /

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Feb. '19</u>	<u>Feb. '20</u>	<u>12-month %</u> <u>change</u>	<u>12-month</u> <u>gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	204,100	205,000	0.4%	900	
Charlottesville, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,700	5,600	-2%	-100	289
Lynchburg, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	5,700	5,600	-2%	-100	289
Northern Virginia, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	76,300	75,900	-1%	-400	270
Richmond, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	38,900	41,600	7%	2,700	52
Roanoke, VA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	8,400	8,600	2%	200	173
Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC	Mining, Logging, and Construction	38,300	38,500	1%	200	199
Washington						
Statewide	Construction	203,200	220,100	8%	16,900	
Statewide	Mining, Logging, and Construction	209,100	225,500	8%	16,400	
Bellingham, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	7,800	7,800	0%	0	218
Bremerton-Silverdale, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,900	5,100	4%	200	115
Kennewick-Richland, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	9,000	10,400	16%	1,400	3
Longview, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	3,300	3,400	3%	100	143
Mount Vernon-Anacortes, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	4,600	4,600	0%	0	218
Olympia-Tumwater, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	6,400	6,800	6%	400	63
Seattle-Bellevue-Everett, WA Div.	Construction	97,700	106,000	8%	8,300	34
Spokane-Spokane Valley, WA	Mining, Logging, and Construction	13,300				



"#\$%&' () ' \$#) ** + & , - . ' % (, /

<u>Area</u> ¹	<u>Industry</u> ²	<u>Feb. '19</u>	<u>Feb. '20</u>	<u>12-month % change</u>	<u>12-month gain/loss</u>	<u>Rank</u> ³
--------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------

²**Industry:** The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) only reports construction employment combined with mining and logging in most metros, because of small sample sizes or to preserve confidentiality for industries with few employers. Metro data is not seasonally adjusted.

³**Rank:** BLS currently provides construction (or combined construction, mining and logging) employment data for 358 metro areas, rounded to the nearest 100. Thus, it is not possible to calculate percent changes more accurately than to the nearest whole percent and all metro areas with the same whole-percent change in employment are ranked the same. (Exception: Employment changes of less than 0.5% are shown and ranked based on the nearest 0.1%.)